

#### Markov Chains

Gonzalo Mateos Dept. of ECE and Goergen Institute for Data Science University of Rochester gmateosb@ece.rochester.edu http://www.ece.rochester.edu/~gmateosb/

September 23, 2020



Definition and examples

Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

Gambler's ruin problem

Queues in communication networks: Transition probabilities

Classes of states



- Consider discrete-time index n = 0, 1, 2, ...
- Time-dependent random state  $X_n$  takes values on a countable set
  - ▶ In general, states are  $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., i.e.$ , here the state space is  $\mathbb{Z}$
  - If  $X_n = i$  we say "the process is in state *i* at time *n*"
- ▶ Random process is  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$ , its history up to *n* is  $\mathbf{X}_n = [X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_0]^T$
- ▶ **Def:** process  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$  is a Markov chain (MC) if for all  $n \ge 1, i, j, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$\mathsf{P}(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i, \mathbf{X}_{n-1} = \mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{P}(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) = P_{ij}$$

▶ Future depends only on current state  $X_n$  (memoryless, Markov property) ⇒ Future conditionally independent of the past, given the present

#### Observations

- Given  $X_n$ , history  $\mathbf{X}_{n-1}$  irrelevant for future evolution of the process
- From the Markov property, can show that for arbitrary m > 0

$$P(X_{n+m} = j | X_n = i, \mathbf{X}_{n-1} = \mathbf{x}) = P(X_{n+m} = j | X_n = i)$$

Transition probabilities P<sub>ij</sub> are constant (MC is time invariant)

$$P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) = P(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) = P_{ij}$$

Since P<sub>ij</sub>'s are probabilities they are non-negative and sum up to 1

$${\sf P}_{ij} \geq 0, \qquad \sum_{j=0}^\infty {\sf P}_{ij} = 1$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Conditional probabilities satisfy the axioms





► Group the P<sub>ij</sub> in a transition probability "matrix" **P** 

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{00} & P_{01} & P_{02} & \dots & P_{0j} & \dots \\ P_{10} & P_{11} & P_{12} & \dots & P_{1j} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{i0} & P_{i1} & P_{i2} & \dots & P_{ij} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Not really a matrix if number of states is infinite

▶ Row-wise sums should be equal to one, i.e.,  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P_{ii} = 1$  for all *i* 



► A graph representation or state transition diagram is also used



• Useful when number of states is infinite, skip arrows if  $P_{ij} = 0$ 

> Again, sum of per-state outgoing arrow weights should be one



- I can be happy (X<sub>n</sub> = 0) or sad (X<sub>n</sub> = 1)
   ⇒ My mood tomorrow is only affected by my mood today
- Model as Markov chain with transition probabilities



- Inertia  $\Rightarrow$  happy or sad today, likely to stay happy or sad tomorrow
- But when sad, a little less likely so  $(P_{00} > P_{11})$

# Example: Happy - Sad with memory



- ► Happiness tomorrow affected by today's and yesterday's mood ⇒ Not a Markov chain with the previous state space
- ► Define double states HH (Happy-Happy), HS (Happy-Sad), SH, SS
- Only some transitions are possible
  - HH and SH can only become HH or HS
  - HS and SS can only become SH or SS



▶ Key: can capture longer time memory via state augmentation

## Random (drunkard's) walk



• Step to the right w.p. p, to the left w.p. 1 - p

 $\Rightarrow$  Not that drunk to stay on the same place



States are  $0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  (state space is  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), infinite number of states

Transition probabilities are

$$P_{i,i+1} = p, \qquad P_{i,i-1} = 1 - p$$

• 
$$P_{ij} = 0$$
 for all other transitions

# Random (drunkard's) walk (continued)



▶ Random walks behave differently if p < 1/2, p = 1/2 or p > 1/2



⇒ With p > 1/2 diverges to the right ( $\nearrow$  almost surely) ⇒ With p < 1/2 diverges to the left ( $\searrow$  almost surely) ⇒ With p = 1/2 always come back to visit origin (almost surely)

Because number of states is infinite we can have all states transient

Transient states not revisited after some time (more later)

- ► Take a step in random direction E, W, S or N ⇒ E, W, S, N chosen with equal probability
- ▶ States are pairs of coordinates (X<sub>n</sub>, Y<sub>n</sub>)
   ▶ X<sub>n</sub> = 0, ±1, ±2,... and Y<sub>n</sub> = 0, ±1, ±2,...
- Transiton probs.  $\neq$  0 only for adjacent points

East: P 
$$(X_{n+1} = i+1, Y_{n+1} = j | X_n = i, Y_n = j) = \frac{1}{4}$$
  
West: P  $(X_{n+1} = i-1, Y_{n+1} = i | X_n = i, Y_n = i) = \frac{1}{4}$ 

North: P 
$$(X_{n+1} = i, Y_{n+1} = j+1 | X_n = i, Y_n = j) = \frac{1}{4}$$
  
South: P  $(X_{n+1} = i, Y_{n+1} = j+1 | X_n = i, Y_n = j) = \frac{1}{4}$ 







- Some random facts of life for equiprobable random walks
- In one and two dimensions probability of returning to origin is 1
   Will almost surely return home
- ► In more than two dimensions, probability of returning to origin is < 1</li>
   ⇒ In three dimensions probability of returning to origin is 0.34
   ⇒ Then 0.19, 0.14, 0.10, 0.08, ...

#### Another representation of a random walk



- Consider an i.i.d. sequence of RVs  $Y_{\mathbb{N}} = Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n, \ldots$
- ▶  $Y_n$  takes the value ±1,  $P(Y_n = 1) = p$ ,  $P(Y_n = -1) = 1 p$
- Define  $X_0 = 0$  and the cumulative sum

$$X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$$

⇒ The process  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$  is a random walk (same we saw earlier) ⇒  $Y_{\mathbb{N}}$  are i.i.d. steps (increments) because  $X_n = X_{n-1} + Y_n$ 

- Q: Can we formally establish the random walk is a Markov chain?
- ▶ A: Since  $X_n = X_{n-1} + Y_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , and  $Y_n$  independent of  $X_{n-1}$

$$\mathsf{P}(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i, \mathbf{X}_{n-2} = \mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{P}(X_{n-1} + Y_n = j | X_{n-1} = i, \mathbf{X}_{n-2} = \mathbf{x})$$
  
=  $\mathsf{P}(Y_1 = j - i) := P_{ij}$ 



#### Theorem

Suppose  $Y_{\mathbb{N}} = Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, \dots$  are i.i.d. and independent of  $X_0$ . Consider the random process  $X_{\mathbb{N}} = X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  of the form

$$X_n = f(X_{n-1}, Y_n), \quad n \ge 1$$

Then  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$  is a Markov chain with transition probabilities

$$P_{ij} = \mathsf{P}\left(f(i, Y_1) = j\right)$$

#### Useful result to identify Markov chains

 $\Rightarrow$  Often simpler than checking the Markov property

• Proof similar to the random walk special case, i.e., f(x, y) = x + y

# Random walk with boundaries (gambling)



- As a random walk, but stop moving when  $X_n = 0$  or  $X_n = J$ 
  - Models a gambler that stops playing when ruined,  $X_n = 0$
  - Or when reaches target gains  $X_n = J$



- ► States are 0, 1, ..., *J*, finite number of states
- Transition probabilities are

$$P_{i,i+1} = p, \quad P_{i,i-1} = 1 - p, \qquad P_{00} = 1, \quad P_{JJ} = 1$$

- $P_{ij} = 0$  for all other transitions
- ► States 0 and J are called absorbing. Once there stay there forever ⇒ The rest are transient states. Visits stop almost surely



Definition and examples

Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

Gambler's ruin problem

Queues in communication networks: Transition probabilities

Classes of states



- Q: What can be said about multiple transitions?
- ► Ex: Transition probabilities between two time slots

$$P_{ij}^{\mathbf{2}} = \mathsf{P}\left(X_{m+\mathbf{2}} = j \mid X_m = i\right)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Caution:  $P_{ij}^2$  is just notation,  $P_{ij}^2 \neq P_{ij} \times P_{ij}$ 

• Ex: Probabilities of  $X_{m+n}$  given  $X_m \Rightarrow n$ -step transition probabilities

$$P_{ij}^{n} = \mathsf{P}\left(X_{m+n} = j \mid X_{m} = i\right)$$

- ▶ Relation between *n*-, *m*-, and (m + n)-step transition probabilities ⇒ Write  $P_{ij}^{m+n}$  in terms of  $P_{ij}^m$  and  $P_{ij}^n$
- ► All questions answered by Chapman-Kolmogorov's equations

### 2-step transition probabilities



Start considering transition probabilities between two time slots

$$P_{ij}^2 = \mathsf{P}\left(X_{n+2} = j \mid X_n = i\right)$$

Using the law of total probability

$$P_{ij}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X_{n+2} = j \mid X_{n+1} = k, X_{n} = i) P(X_{n+1} = k \mid X_{n} = i)$$

▶ In the first probability, conditioning on  $X_n = i$  is unnecessary. Thus

$$P_{ij}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X_{n+2} = j | X_{n+1} = k) P(X_{n+1} = k | X_{n} = i)$$

Which by definition of transition probabilities yields

$$P_{ij}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{kj} P_{ik}$$





- Same argument works (condition on X₀ w.l.o.g., time invariance)
  P<sup>m+n</sup><sub>ij</sub> = P (X<sub>n+m</sub> = j | X₀ = i)
- Use law of total probability, drop unnecessary conditioning and use definitions of *n*-step and *m*-step transition probabilities

$$P_{ij}^{m+n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X_{m+n} = j | X_m = k, X_0 = i) P(X_m = k | X_0 = i)$$

$$P_{ij}^{m+n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X_{m+n} = j | X_m = k) P(X_m = k | X_0 = i)$$

$$P_{ij}^{m+n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{kj}^n P_{ik}^m \text{ for all } i, j \text{ and } n, m \ge 0$$

 $\Rightarrow$  These are the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations



► Chapman-Kolmogorov equations are intuitive. Recall

$$P_{ij}^{m+n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{ik}^m P_{kj}^n$$

• Between times 0 and m + n, time m occurred

At time *m*, the Markov chain is in some state 
$$X_m = k$$
  
 $\Rightarrow P_{ik}^m$  is the probability of going from  $X_0 = i$  to  $X_m = k$   
 $\Rightarrow P_{kj}^n$  is the probability of going from  $X_m = k$  to  $X_{m+n} = j$   
 $\Rightarrow$  Product  $P_{ik}^m P_{kj}^n$  is then the probability of going from  
 $X_0 = i$  to  $X_{m+n} = j$  passing through  $X_m = k$  at time *m*

Since any k might have occurred, just sum over all k



Define the following three matrices:

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{(m)} \text{ with elements } P^m_{ij}$$
$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{(n)} \text{ with elements } P^n_{ij}$$
$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{(m+n)} \text{ with elements } P^{m+n}_{ii}$$

- Matrix product  $\mathbf{P}^{(m)}\mathbf{P}^{(n)}$  has (i,j)-th element  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{ik}^m P_{ki}^n$
- Chapman Kolmogorov in matrix form

$$\mathbf{P}^{(m+n)} = \mathbf{P}^{(m)}\mathbf{P}^{(n)}$$

• Matrix of (m + n)-step transitions is product of *m*-step and *n*-step



• For m = n = 1 (2-step transition probabilities) matrix form is

 $\mathbf{P}^{(2)} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^2$ 

Proceed recursively backwards from n

$$\mathbf{P}^{(n)} = \mathbf{P}^{(n-1)}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^{(n-2)}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{P} = \ldots = \mathbf{P}^n$$

Have proved the following

#### Theorem

The matrix of n-step transition probabilities  $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}$  is given by the n-th power of the transition probability matrix  $\mathbf{P}$ , i.e.,

 $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}=\mathbf{P}^n$ 

Henceforth we write  $\mathbf{P}^n$ 

# Example: Happy-Sad



Mood transitions in one day

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0.8 & 0.2 \\ H & 0.3 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$$

> Transition probabilities between today and the day after tomorrow?

$$\mathbf{P}^2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0.70 & 0.30 \\ 0.45 & 0.55 \end{array} \right)$$





After a week and after a month

$$\mathbf{P}^7 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0.6031 & 0.3969\\ 0.5953 & 0.4047 \end{array}\right) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{P}^{30} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0.6000 & 0.4000\\ 0.6000 & 0.4000 \end{array}\right)$$

- ▶ Matrices  $\mathbf{P}^7$  and  $\mathbf{P}^{30}$  almost identical  $\Rightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}^n$  exists  $\Rightarrow$  Note that this is a regular limit
- ► After a month transition from H to H and from S to H w.p. 0.6 ⇒ State becomes independent of initial condition (H w.p. 0.6)
- ▶ Rationale: 1-step memory  $\Rightarrow$  Initial condition eventually forgotten
  - More about this soon

## Unconditional probabilities



- ► All probabilities so far are conditional, i.e.,  $P_{ij}^n = P(X_n = j | X_0 = i)$ ⇒ May want unconditional probabilities  $p_j(n) = P(X_n = j)$
- Requires specification of initial conditions  $p_i(0) = P(X_0 = i)$
- Using law of total probability and definitions of  $P_{ij}^n$  and  $p_j(n)$

$$p_{j}(n) = P(X_{n} = j) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(X_{n} = j | X_{0} = i) P(X_{0} = i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P_{ij}^{n} p_{i}(0)$$

• In matrix form (define vector  $\mathbf{p}(n) = [p_1(n), p_2(n), \ldots]^T$ )

$$\mathbf{p}(n) = \left(\mathbf{P}^n\right)^T \mathbf{p}(0)$$

## Example: Happy-Sad





For large *n* probabilities  $\mathbf{p}(n)$  are independent of initial state  $\mathbf{p}(0)$ 



Definition and examples

Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

Gambler's ruin problem

Queues in communication networks: Transition probabilities

Classes of states

## Gambler's ruin problem



- You place \$1 bets
  - (i) With probability p you gain \$1, and
  - (ii) With probability q = 1 p you loose your \$1 bet
- Start with an initial wealth of \$i
- Define bias factor  $\alpha := q/p$ 
  - If  $\alpha > 1$  more likely to loose than win (biased against gambler)
  - $\alpha < 1$  favors gambler (more likely to win than loose)
- You keep playing until
  - (a) You go broke (loose all your money)
  - (b) You reach a wealth of \$N (same as first lecture, HW1 for  $N 
    ightarrow \infty$ )
- ▶ Prob. *S<sub>i</sub>* of reaching \$*N* before going broke for initial wealth \$*i*?
  - ► S stands for success, or successful betting run (SBR)

### Gambler's Markov chain

• Model wealth as Markov chain  $X_{\mathbb{N}}$ . Transition probabilities

$$P_{i,i+1} = p, \quad P_{i,i-1} = q, \quad P_{00} = P_{NN} = 1$$



• Realizations  $x_{\mathbb{N}}$ . Initial state = Initial wealth = *i* 

 $\Rightarrow$  Sates 0 and N are absorbing. Eventually end up in one of them

⇒ Remaining states are transient (visits eventually stop)

Being absorbing states says something about the limit wealth

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0, \text{ or } \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = N \quad \Rightarrow \quad S_i := \mathsf{P}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = N \mid X_0 = i\right)$$





► Total probability to relate  $S_i$  with  $S_{i+1}, S_{i-1}$  from adjacent states ⇒ Condition on first bet  $X_1$ , Markov chain homogeneous

$$S_i = S_{i+1}P_{i,i+1} + S_{i-1}P_{i,i-1} = S_{i+1}p + S_{i-1}q$$

• Recall p + q = 1 and reorder terms

$$p(S_{i+1}-S_i) = q(S_i-S_{i-1})$$

• Recall definition of bias  $\alpha = q/p$ 

$$S_{i+1}-S_i=\alpha(S_i-S_{i-1})$$

#### Recursive relations (continued)

Rochester

• If current state is 0 then  $S_i = S_0 = 0$ . Can write

$$S_2 - S_1 = \alpha(S_1 - S_0) = \alpha S_1$$

• Substitute this in the expression for  $S_3 - S_2$ 

$$S_3 - S_2 = \alpha(S_2 - S_1) = \alpha^2 S_1$$

• Apply recursively backwards from  $S_i - S_{i-1}$ 

$$S_i - S_{i-1} = \alpha(S_{i-1} - S_{i-2}) = \ldots = \alpha^{i-1}S_1$$

Sum up all of the former to obtain

$$S_i - S_1 = S_1 \left( \alpha + \alpha^2 + \ldots + \alpha^{i-1} \right)$$

The latter can be written as a geometric series

$$S_i = S_1 \left( 1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \ldots + \alpha^{i-1} \right)$$

## Probability of successful betting run



• Geometric series can be summed in closed form, assuming  $\alpha \neq 1$ 

$$S_i = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha^k\right) S_1 = \frac{1-\alpha^i}{1-\alpha} S_1$$

• When in state N,  $S_N = 1$  and so

$$1 = S_N = \frac{1 - \alpha^N}{1 - \alpha} S_1 \Rightarrow S_1 = \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha^N}$$

• Substitute  $S_1$  above into expression for probability of SBR  $S_i$ 

$$S_i = rac{1-lpha^i}{1-lpha^N}, \quad lpha 
eq 1$$

► For 
$$\alpha = 1 \implies S_i = iS_1$$
,  $1 = S_N = NS_1$ ,  $\implies S_i = \frac{i}{N}$ 

## Analysis for large N



Recall

$$S_i = \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha^i)/(1 - \alpha^N), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ i/N, & \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

► Consider exit bound *N* arbitrarily large

(i) For 
$$\alpha > 1$$
,  $S_i \approx (\alpha^i - 1)/\alpha^N \to 0$ 

(ii) Likewise for  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $S_i = i/N \rightarrow 0$ 

If win probability *p* does not exceed loose probability *q* ⇒ Will almost surely loose all money

(iii) For  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $S_i \rightarrow 1 - \alpha^i$ 

If win probability p exceeds loose probability q

 $\Rightarrow$  For sufficiently high initial wealth *i*, will most likely win

▶ This explains what we saw on first lecture and HW1



Definition and examples

Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

Gambler's ruin problem

Queues in communication networks: Transition probabilities

Classes of states



General communication systems goal

 $\Rightarrow$  Move packets from generating sources to intended destinations

Between arrival and departure we hold packets in a memory buffer
 Want to design buffers appropriately



• Time slotted in intervals of duration  $\Delta t$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  *n*-th slot between times  $n\Delta t$  and  $(n+1)\Delta t$ 

- Average arrival rate is λ̄ packets per unit time
   ⇒ Probability of packet arrival in Δt is λ = λ̄Δt
- Packets are transmitted (depart) at a rate of  $\bar{\mu}$  packets per unit time  $\Rightarrow$  Probability of packet departure in  $\Delta t$  is  $\mu = \bar{\mu} \Delta t$
- ► Assume no simultaneous arrival and departure (no concurrence) ⇒ Reasonable for small  $\Delta t$  ( $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  likely to be small)

#### Queue evolution equations



- $Q_n$  denotes number of packets in queue (backlog) in *n*-th time slot
- ▶  $\mathbb{A}_n = \mathsf{nr.}$  of packet arrivals,  $\mathbb{D}_n = \mathsf{nr.}$  of departures (during *n*-th slot)
- ► If the queue is empty Q<sub>n</sub> = 0 then there are no departures ⇒ Queue length at time n + 1 can be written as

$$Q_{n+1} = Q_n + \mathbb{A}_n, \quad \text{if } Q_n = 0$$

• If  $Q_n > 0$ , departures and arrivals may happen

$$Q_{n+1}=Q_n+\mathbb{A}_n-\mathbb{D}_n, \quad \text{if } Q_n>0$$

▶  $\mathbb{A}_n \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_n \in \{0,1\}$  and either  $\mathbb{A}_n = 1$  or  $\mathbb{D}_n = 1$  but not both ⇒ Arrival and departure probabilities are

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathbb{A}_n = 1) = \lambda, \qquad \mathsf{P}(\mathbb{D}_n = 1) = \mu$$



- ► Future queue lengths depend on current length only
- Probability of queue length increasing

$$\mathsf{P}\left(Q_{n+1}=i+1 \mid Q_n=i\right)=\mathsf{P}\left(\mathbb{A}_n=1\right)=\lambda, \qquad \text{for all } i$$

• Queue length might decrease only if  $Q_n > 0$ . Probability is

$$\mathsf{P}\left( \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}=i-1 \ \middle| \ \mathcal{Q}_n=i \right) = \mathsf{P}\left( \mathbb{D}_n=1 \right) = \mu, \qquad \text{for all } i>0$$

Queue length stays the same if it neither increases nor decreases

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{P}\left(Q_{n+1} = i \; \middle| \; Q_n = i \right) = 1 - \lambda - \mu, \qquad \text{for all } i > 0 \\ & \mathsf{P}\left(Q_{n+1} = 0 \; \middle| \; Q_n = 0\right) = 1 - \lambda \end{split}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  No departures when  $Q_n = 0$  explain second equation



- MC with states  $0, 1, 2, \ldots$  Identify states with queue lengths
- Transition probabilities for  $i \neq 0$  are

$$P_{i,i-1} = \mu, \qquad P_{i,i} = 1 - \lambda - \mu, \qquad P_{i,i+1} = \lambda$$

• For 
$$i = 0$$
:  $P_{00} = 1 - \lambda$  and  $P_{01} = \lambda$ 





• Build matrix **P** truncating at maximum queue length L = 100

```
\Rightarrow Arrival rate \lambda = 0.3. Departure rate \mu = 0.33
```

 $\Rightarrow$  Initial distribution  $\mathbf{p}(0) = [1, 0, 0, \ldots]^T$  (queue empty)



- Propagate probabilities  $(\mathbf{P}^n)^T \mathbf{p}(0)$
- Probabilities obtained are

$$\mathsf{P}\left(Q_n=i \mid Q_0=0\right)=p_i(n)$$

- A few i's (0, 10, 20) shown
- $\blacktriangleright\,$  Probability of empty queue  $\approx 0.1$
- Occupancy decreases with i



Definition and examples

Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

Gambler's ruin problem

Queues in communication networks: Transition probabilities

Classes of states



- States of a MC can be recurrent or transient
- Transient states might be visited early on but visits eventually stop
- Almost surely,  $X_n \neq i$  for *n* sufficiently large (qualifications needed)
- ▶ Visits to recurrent states keep happening forever. Fix arbitrary *m*
- ▶ Almost surely,  $X_n = i$  for some  $n \ge m$  (qualifications needed)





• Let  $f_i$  be the probability that starting at i, MC ever reenters state i

$$f_i := \mathsf{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = i \mid X_0 = i\right) = \mathsf{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=m+1}^{\infty} X_n = i \mid X_m = i\right)$$

• State *i* is recurrent if  $f_i = 1$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Process reenters *i* again and again (a.s.). Infinitely often

• State *i* is transient if  $f_i < 1$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Positive probability  $1 - f_i > 0$  of never coming back to i

#### Recurrent states example

- State  $R_3$  is recurrent because it is absorbing P  $(X_1 = R_3 | X_0 = R_3) = 1$
- State R<sub>1</sub> is recurrent because

 $P(X_1 = R_1 | X_0 = R_1) = 0.3$ 

P  $(X_2 = R_1, X_1 \neq R_1 | X_0 = R_1) = (0.7)(0.6)$ P  $(X_3 = R_1, X_2 \neq R_1, X_1 \neq R_1 | X_0 = R_1) = (0.7)(0.4)(0.6)$ : P  $(X_n = R_1, X_{n-1} \neq R_1, \dots, X_1 \neq R_1 | X_0 = R_1) = (0.7)(0.4)^{n-2}(0.6)$ 

• Sum up: 
$$f_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(X_n = R_1, X_{n-1} \neq R_1, \dots, X_1 \neq R_1 \mid X_0 = R_1\right)$$
  
= 0.3 + 0.7  $\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 0.4^{n-2}\right)$  0.6 = 0.3 + 0.7  $\left(\frac{1}{1-0.4}\right)$  0.6 = 1



0.6 0.6

0.6



- States  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are transient
- Probability of returning to  $T_1$  is  $f_{T_1} = (0.6)^2 = 0.36$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  Might come back to  $T_1$  only if it goes to  $T_2$  (w.p. 0.6)
  - $\Rightarrow$  Will come back only if it moves back from  $T_2$  to  $T_1$  (w.p. 0.6)



• Likewise, 
$$f_{T_2} = (0.6)^2 = 0.36$$

### Expected number of visits to states



• Define  $N_i$  as the number of visits to state *i* given that  $X_0 = i$ 

$$N_i := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}\left\{X_n = i \mid X_0 = i\right\}$$

- If  $X_n = i$ , this is the last visit to i w.p.  $1 f_i$
- Prob. revisiting state *i* exactly *n* times is (*n* visits  $\times$  no more visits)

$$\mathsf{P}(N_i = n) = f_i^n(1 - f_i)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Number of visits  $N_i + 1$  is geometric with parameter  $1 - f_i$ 

Expected number of visits is

$$\mathbb{E}[N_i] + 1 = \frac{1}{1 - f_i} \implies \mathbb{E}[N_i] = \frac{f_i}{1 - f_i}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \text{ For recurrent states } N_i = \infty \text{ a.s. and } \mathbb{E}[N_i] = \infty (f_i = 1)$$

Alternative transience/recurrence characterization



• Another way of writing  $\mathbb{E}[N_i]$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[N_{i}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{I}\left\{X_{n} = i \mid X_{0} = i\right\}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^{n}$$

► Recall that: for transient states E [N<sub>i</sub>] = f<sub>i</sub>/(1 - f<sub>i</sub>) < ∞ for recurrent states E [N<sub>i</sub>] = ∞

#### Theorem

- State i is transient if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^n < \infty$
- State *i* is recurrent if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^n = \infty$
- Number of future visits to transient states is finite
   If number of states is finite some states have to be recurrent

## Accessibility



- ▶ Def: State j is accessible from state i if P<sup>n</sup><sub>ij</sub> > 0 for some n ≥ 0
   ⇒ It is possible to enter j if MC initialized at X<sub>0</sub> = i
- ► Since  $P_{ii}^0 = P(X_0 = i | X_0 = i) = 1$ , state *i* is accessible from itself



- All states accessible from  $T_1$  and  $T_2$
- Only  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  accessible from  $R_1$  or  $R_2$
- ▶ None other than R<sub>3</sub> accessible from itself

### Communication



- ▶ Def: States *i* and *j* are said to communicate (*i* ↔ *j*) if ⇒ *j* is accessible from *i*, i.e., P<sup>n</sup><sub>ij</sub> > 0 for some *n*; and ⇒ *i* is accessible from *j*, i.e., P<sup>m</sup><sub>ij</sub> > 0 for some *m*
- Communication is an equivalence relation
- Reflexivity:  $i \leftrightarrow i$ 
  - ► Holds because P<sup>0</sup><sub>ii</sub> = 1
- **Symmetry**: If  $i \leftrightarrow j$  then  $j \leftrightarrow i$ 
  - If  $i \leftrightarrow j$  then  $P_{ij}^n > 0$  and  $P_{ji}^m > 0$  from where  $j \leftrightarrow i$
- Transitivity: If  $i \leftrightarrow j$  and  $j \leftrightarrow k$ , then  $i \leftrightarrow k$ 
  - Just notice that  $P_{ik}^{n+m} \ge P_{ij}^n P_{jk}^m > 0$
- Partitions set of states into disjoint classes (as all equivalences do)
   ⇒ What are these classes?



#### Theorem

If state i is recurrent and  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , then j is recurrent

Proof.

- If  $i \leftrightarrow j$  then there are I, m such that  $P_{ii}^{I} > 0$  and  $P_{ii}^{m} > 0$
- ▶ Then, for any *n* we have

$$P_{jj}^{l+n+m} \geq P_{ji}^l P_{ii}^n P_{ij}^m$$

▶ Sum for all *n*. Note that since *i* is recurrent  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^n = \infty$ 

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{jj}^{l+n+m} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ji}^{l} P_{ii}^{n} P_{jj}^{m} = P_{ji}^{l} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^{n} \right) P_{ij}^{m} = \infty$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Which implies *j* is recurrent



Corollary

If state *i* is transient and *i*  $\leftrightarrow$  *j*, then *j* is transient

Proof.

- If j were recurrent, then i would be recurrent from previous theorem
- ▶ Recurrence is shared by elements of a communication class
   ⇒ We say that recurrence is a class property
- Likewise, transience is also a class property
- ▶ MC states are separated in classes of transient and recurrent states

## Irreducible Markov chains



- A MC is called irreducible if it has only one class
  - All states communicate with each other
  - If MC also has finite number of states the single class is recurrent
  - If MC infinite, class might be transient
- When it has multiple classes (not irreducible)
  - Classes of transient states  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \ldots$
  - Classes of recurrent states  $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \dots$
- If MC initialized in a recurrent class  $\mathcal{R}_k$ , stays within the class
- If MC starts in transient class  $T_k$ , then it might
  - (a) Stay on  $\mathcal{T}_k$  (only if  $|\mathcal{T}_k| = \infty$ )
  - (b) End up in another transient class  $\mathcal{T}_r$  (only if  $|\mathcal{T}_r| = \infty$ )
  - (c) End up in a recurrent class  $\mathcal{R}_{I}$
- ▶ For large time index *n*, MC restricted to one class

 $\Rightarrow$  Can be separated into irreducible components

#### Communication classes example





Three classes

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T} := \{T_1, T_2\}, \text{ class with transient states}$  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1 := \{R_1, R_2\}, \text{ class with recurrent states}$  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_2 := \{R_3\}, \text{ class with recurrent state}$ 

• For large *n* suffices to study the irreducible components  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$ 



• Step right with probability p, left with probability q = 1 - p



- ► All states communicate ⇒ States either all transient or all recurrent
- ▶ To see which, consider initially  $X_0 = 0$  and note for any  $n \ge 1$

$$P_{00}^{2n} = \binom{2n}{n} p^n q^n = \frac{(2n)!}{n! n!} p^n q^n$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Back to 0 in 2*n* steps  $\Leftrightarrow$  *n* steps right and *n* steps left

## Example: Random walk (continued)



• Stirling's formula  $n! \approx n^n \sqrt{n} e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Approximate probability  $P^{2n}_{00}$  of returning home as

$$P_{00}^{2n} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!} p^n q^n \approx \frac{(4pq)^n}{\sqrt{n\pi}}$$

• Symmetric random walk (p = q = 1/2)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{00}^{2n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} = \infty$$

 $\Rightarrow$  State 0 (hence all states) are recurrent

▶ Biased random walk (p > 1/2 or p < 1/2), then pq < 1/4 and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{00}^{2n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4pq)^n}{\sqrt{n\pi}} < \infty$$

 $\Rightarrow$  State 0 (hence all states) are transient



• Alternative proof of transience of right-biased random walk (p > 1/2)



- ▶ Write current position of random walker as  $X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$ ⇒  $Y_k$  are the i.i.d. steps:  $\mathbb{E}[Y_k] = 2p - 1$ , var  $[Y_k] = 4p(1-p)$
- From Central Limit Theorem ( $\Phi(x)$  is cdf of standard Normal)

$$\mathsf{P}\left(rac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}Y_k-n(2p-1)}{\sqrt{n4p(1-p)}}\leq a
ight)
ightarrow \Phi(a)$$



• Choose 
$$a = \frac{\sqrt{n}(1-2p)}{\sqrt{4p(1-p)}} < 0$$
, use Chernoff bound  $\Phi(a) \le \exp(-a^2/2)$ 

$$\mathsf{P}(X_n \le 0) = \mathsf{P}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k \le 0\right) \to \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(1-2p)}{\sqrt{4p(1-p)}}\right) < e^{-\frac{n(1-2p)^2}{8p(1-p)}} \to 0$$

• Since  $P_{00}^n \leq P(X_n \leq 0)$ , sum over *n* 

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{00}^n \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{P}\left(X_n \le 0\right) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{n(1-2\rho)^2}{8\rho(1-\rho)}} < \infty$$

This establishes state 0 is transient

 $\Rightarrow$  Since all states communicate, all states are transient



- States of a MC can be transient or recurrent
- ► A MC can be partitioned into classes of communicating states
  - $\Rightarrow$  Class members are either all transient or all recurrent
  - $\Rightarrow$  Recurrence and transience are class properties
  - $\Rightarrow$  A finite MC has at least one recurrent class
- A MC with only one class is irreducible
  - $\Rightarrow$  If reducible it can be separated into irreducible components





- Markov chain
- State space
- Markov property
- Transition probability matrix
- State transition diagram
- State augmentation
- Random walk
- *n*-step transition probabilities
- Chapman-Kolmogorov eqs.
- Initial distribution
- Gambler's ruin problem

- Communication system
- Non-concurrent queue
- Queue evolution model
- Recurrent and transient states
- Accessibility
- Communication
- Equivalence relation
- Communication classes
- Class property
- Irreducible Markov chain
- Irreducible components