

Mapping Brain Structural Connectivities to Functional Networks via Graph Encoder-Decoder

Gonzalo Mateos

Dept. of ECE and Goergen Institute for Data Science University of Rochester gmateosb@ece.rochester.edu http://www.ece.rochester.edu/~gmateosb/

Co-authors: Yang Li, Rasoul Shafipour and Zhengwu Zhang Acknowledgment: NSF Awards CCF-1750428 and ECCS-1809356

IEEE GlobalSIP, Nov. 12, 2019

Network Science analytics





- Network as graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$: encode pairwise relationships
- ► Desiderata: Process, analyze and learn from network data [Kolaczyk'09] ⇒ Use G to study graph signals, data associated with nodes in V
- Ex: Opinion profile, buffer congestion levels, brain signal analyses

Networks of the brain



- Challenge: understand human brain function and structure
 - \blacktriangleright Neuroimaging advances $\ \Rightarrow$ Data increase in volume and complexity
 - Graph-centric analysis and methods of network science [Sporns'10]
- Brain networks can reflect two connectivity patterns
 - ► Structural connectivity (SC). How is the brain wired? ⇒ Anatomical tracts connecting brain regions (DTI)
 - ► Functional connectivity (FC). How the brain functions?
 - \Rightarrow Correlation between neural signals in different regions (fMRI)
- ► Key problem: deciphering the relationship between SC and FC
 - Simulations of nonlinear cortical activity models [Honey et al'09]
 - Diffusion-based parametric inverse problem [Abdelnour et al'14]
 - Network deconvolution [Li-Mateos'19]
- ► Goal: pursue SC-to-FC mapping as a regression problem
 - \Rightarrow Reconstruct FC network from SC network



• Graph
$$G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$$
 with $N = |\mathcal{V}|$ nodes \Rightarrow **A** and **L** = **D** - **A**

- Graph signals mappings $x : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$, represented as vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$
- To understand GS ⇒ Graph-shift operator S ∈ ℝ^{N×N}
 ⇒ Local S_{ij} = 0 for i ≠ j and (i, j) ∉ E ⇒ Ex: A or L
 ⇒ Spectrum of S = U∧U^T
- Graph Fourier Transform (GFT): $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Generalize machine learning models for network data
 ⇒ GSP to define convolutions on graphs [Ortega et al'19]
 ⇒ Tool to integrate brain structure and function [Wang et al'19]

Graph convolutions



• Given a graph filter h, graph convolution operator

 $\mathbf{x} \ast \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{U}((\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{h}) \odot (\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{U}_{g_{\theta}}(\Lambda)\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}$

► ChebNet: Chebyshev polynomials of ∧ [Defferrard et al'16]

$$g_{\theta}(\tilde{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{K} \theta_i T_i(\tilde{\mathbf{\Lambda}})$$

- θ_i : polynomial filter coefficients to be learned
- $\tilde{\Lambda} = 2\Lambda / \lambda_{max} I_N$, λ_{max} : largest eigenvalue of L
- $T_k(x) = 2xT_{k-1}(x) T_{k-2}(x)$ with $T_0(x) = 1, T_1(x) = x$

• Graph convolution operator becomes $(\tilde{\mathbf{L}} = (2/\lambda_{max})\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{I}_N)$

$$\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{h} = \sum_{i=0}^{K} \theta_i T_i(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}) \mathbf{x}$$

Combines signal values at nodes K-hops away in G

Graph convolutional network (GCN)



- ► First-order approximation of ChebNet [Kipf-Welling'17]
 - Set K = 1, $\lambda_{max} = 2$, $\theta = \theta_0 = -\theta_1$

$$\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{h} = \theta (\mathbf{I}_N + \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1/2}) \mathbf{x}$$

► Compact rule for per-layer filtering update

$\tilde{\bm{X}} \leftarrow \tilde{\bm{A}} \bm{X} \bm{\Theta}$

$$\mathbf{\tilde{A}} = \mathbf{I}_N + \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1/2}$$

- X: set of multiple observations of the graph signal x
- ► ⊖ collects the learnable filter parameters
- \blacktriangleright \tilde{X} integrates nodal attributes in X and topology in \tilde{A}
- ▶ Q: Aggregate information from multiple hops within G?
 - \Rightarrow Stack convolutional layers with pointwise non-linear activation
 - \Rightarrow Capture indirect interactions across the network

Problem statement



Study the generation of FC patterns from SC graphs

- ▶ Goal: learn the mapping from brain SC networks to FC networks
- ► Approach: reconstruct FC networks from the given SC networks
- Model: GCN-based encoder-decoder system



Analysis: investigate latent variables within the system



- ▶ Input SC network $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, N regions from brain atlas
 - \Rightarrow Edge weights represent SC between brain regions
 - \Rightarrow Preprocessing: $\hat{\mathbf{A}} := \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1/2}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}$
- Learn vertex representations (i.e., embeddings) that capture
 (i) Nodal attributes, e.g. intrinsic properties of brain regions
 (ii) Graph topology information, e.g. regional connection strengths
- ► A single-layer GCN used for encoder to learn node embeddings

$$\mathsf{Y} = \operatorname{Relu}(\widehat{\mathsf{A}}\mathsf{X} \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$$

- $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times T}$: input signal matrix
- $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times F}$: learnable GCN filter coefficients
- Relu(x) = max(0, x) activation for training the network

Model architecture: Decoder





▶ Node embeddings $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$ go through the outer-product decoder

 $\mathsf{Z} = \tanh(\operatorname{Relu}(\mathsf{Y}\mathsf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}})) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{N} \times \mathsf{N}}$

- ▶ Weights in empirical FC networks restricted to [0,1]
 - Ensure the output of the decoder in the same range
 - Choose tanh and Relu over Sigmoid
- Loss function: MSE between Z and empirical FC

Model architecture: Latent variables





• YY^{T} : rank-*F* approximation of FC graph before activation

Extract and analyze each of the rank-1 components y_iy_i^T

$$\mathbf{Z}_i = \operatorname{tanh}(\operatorname{Relu}(\mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T)), \quad i = 1, \dots, F$$

- ► Z_i ⇔ outputs of individual filters in graph convolutional layer
 ⇒ View as building blocks of FC network
- ▶ Reveal details about generation of FC patterns from SC networks

Numerical tests: Data



- ▶ 1058 healthy subjects from Human Connectome Project (HCP)
- Preprocessed SC network A from diffusion MRI
 - \Rightarrow Fiber counts between N = 68 cortical surface regions



Preprocessed FC network from functional MRI

- \Rightarrow Blood oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) signals
- \Rightarrow Estimated FC \Leftrightarrow Pearson correlation between BOLD signals
- One-hot encoding as the signal on each graph node $(X = I_N)$

Numerical tests: FC reconstruction performance



- MSE between reconstructed and empirical FC networks
 - Average test reconstruction error = 0.0304 with std = 0.0011
 - Capture population patterns of SC-FC relationship





Investigate the latent variables learnt during model training

- Output of each graph filter in the graph convolution layer
- ► Building blocks **Z**_i that generate reconstructed FC graph



Subgraphs may reveal key insights about SC-to-FC mapping

Numerical tests: Component graphs





- Left: subnetwork of regions in frontal and parietal lobe
 - Precentral (PRC), Paracentral (PARA), motor/sensory functions
 - Postcentral (POC), Superior Parietal (SP), spatial/somatosensory
- Right: subnetwork of regions in Inferior Frontal Gyrus
 - Parsopercularis (POP), Parsorbitalis (POB), Parstriangularis (PT)
 - Critically involved in complex brain functions [Greenlee et al'07]



- Preliminary study of human brain SC-FC relationship
- Reconstruct FC given SC input
 - \Rightarrow GCN-based encoder-decoder model
 - \Rightarrow Learn population patterns of SC-FC mapping
- Latent variables contain vital information
 - \Rightarrow Identify subnetworks contributing to FC formation from SC
- Numerical tests with a large population of HCP subjects
- Envisioned application domains
 - (a) Conduct patient-control comparison
 - (b) Identify potential regions or subnetworks as biomarkers
 - (c) Explore alternative node attributes as graph signals